arXiv:1512.04019v1 [astro-ph.CO] 13 Dec 2015

Cosmological consequences of extended quintessence after approaching Λ

Chao-Qiang Geng[1,2,3a], Chung-Chi Lee[3b], Yi-Peng Wu[4c]

[1] *Chongqing University of Posts & Telecommunications, Chongqing, 400065, China*

[2] *Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300*

[3] *National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300*

[4] *Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan*

(Dated: December 15, 2015)

Abstract

We investigate cosmological implications of a quintessence field ϕ with a nonminimal coupling to gravity (extended quintessence) since driving the late-time cosmic acceleration. While the fraction of quintessence density invoked by such a nonminimal coupling, Ω_ϕ^{nc}, is highly suppressed once the field ϕ recovers the dynamics of a cosmological constant via an extremely flat potential, we show that Ω_ϕ^{nc} generally controls the future cosmological evolutions, leading to new attractor solutions depending on the value of the coupling constant ξ. By applying the observational constraints from CMB, BAO, Type-Ia supernovae and Solar System measurements to the simplest scenario with a constant potential, we find that $|\Omega_\phi^{nc}| \lesssim 0.003\%$ (0.01%) at present, which may start to govern the expansion rate of our universe some 30 (180) billion years later for $\xi \simeq 1$ (0.1).

[a] geng@phys.nthu.edu.tw

[b] g9522545@oz.nthu.edu.tw

[c] ypwu@phys.sinica.edu.tw

I. INTRODUCTION

Many cosmological observations, in particular the Type-Ia supernovae measurments [1], consistently support the existence of the so called "negative pressure matter" as the source of the late-time cosmic acceleration. This type of matter, also known as dark energy, can be a cosmological constant (Λ) with an extremely tiny energy density $\rho_\Lambda \approx 10^{-47}$ GeV^4, comparing with typical energy scales in particle physics. Another possible candidate for such a source, as legitimate as imposing Λ, is referred to a quintessence component whose dynamics is described by a scalar field ϕ [2].

Quintessence with sufficiently flat potentials exhibits attractor solutions such that wide ranges of initial conditions approach the scalar field dominant universe ($\Omega_\phi = 1$). One of the common forms is the exponential potential $V(\phi) \sim e^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}$ with $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$, where the cosmic acceleration is realized if $\lambda^2 < 2$ [3–5]. These late-time attractor solutions, however, demand nearly fixed values of the quintessence density ρ_ϕ all along the evolution history. The fact that ρ_ϕ coincides with the (dust-like) matter density today implies that the initial densities of the two species have to be in some huge yet precise hierarchy, leading to the cosmic coincidence problem [6].

On the other hand, tracker fields are introduced as a specific class of quintessence aiming to solve the cosmic coincidence problem [6]. The main advantage of a tracker field is that the initial value of Ω_ϕ can be close to unity to within few orders of magnitude, and thus it accepts the assumption of equipartition after inflation [6]. Typical tracker solutions have been found in potentials of the inverse power-law $V(\phi) \sim \phi^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 0$ [3, 7]. Unfortunately, recent observational constraints on the tracker fields require $\alpha \ll 1$ [8, 9], which significantly narrows down the tracking basin of attraction [10]. To mimic a cosmological constant by taking $\alpha \to 0$, the present energy density must be realized from the fine-tuned initial condition $\rho_\phi \simeq 10^{-47}$ GeV^4, only to duplicate the cosmic coincidence problem.

Given these considerations, much effort has been devoted to the extended scenario in which the quintessence field is nonminimally coupled to the scalar curvature R (extended quintessence [11], see also [12–19]) through a nonminimal coupling $\xi R\phi^2$, where ξ is the coupling constant. There exist tracking solutions purely induced by the nonminimal coupling such that a very wide range of initial values of ρ_ϕ can evolve toward the attractor of the late-time cosmic acceleration, even if the potential is extremely flat [20]. This gravitational effect

can be driven under conditions of the R-boost [11], or conditions of the slow-roll [21]. In either case, the gravity-induced tracking solutions are obtained without assuming particular forms of the potentials.

Despite that the gravity-induced tracking solutions help to release the cosmic coincidence problem, the fraction of the energy density induced by the nonminimal coupling, denoted by Ω_ϕ^{nc}, is strictly constrained if the current cosmic acceleration is attributed to the quintessence potential. In this work, we investigate the cosmological consequences of a nonminimally coupled quintessence field, encompassing initial conditions that give rise to the gravitational tracking evolutions. We consider suitable potentials in which the quintessence field can mimic a cosmological constant and generate the familiar de Sitter expansion. We show that the energy density invoked by the nonminimal coupling, although highly suppressed at late-time, generically modifies the future cosmological evolutions and leads to new attractor solutions.

This article is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we review equations of a nonminimally coupled scalar field. In Sec. III, we provide the analytic and numerical results of the field evolutions in a constant potential and an exponential potential, where both potentials can closely reproduce the dynamics of a cosmological constant at late-time. We use observational data to constrain the predictions of the future cosmic evolution with the programs of MGCAMB [22, 23] and CosmoMC [24] in Sec. IV. We present our summary and conclusions in Sec. V.

II. QUINTESSENCE WITH NONMINIMAL COUPLING TO GRAVITY

We consider the scenario that the quintessence field ϕ has an explicit coupling to the scalar curvature R given by the action

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(1+\xi\kappa^2\phi^2\right)R - \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla\phi\right)^2 - V(\phi)\right] + \mathcal{S}_M\,, \tag{1}$$

where ξ is the nonminimal coupling parameter which exhibits the minimal coupling value at $\xi = 0$ and the conformal value at $\xi = -1/6$, and $\mathcal{S}_M$ is the action for both the relativistic and nonrelativistic matter of the universe. The variation of the action (1) with respect to

the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$, leads to the gravitational field equation

$$\left(1+\xi\kappa^2\phi^2\right)\left(R^\mu_\nu-\frac{\delta^\mu_\nu}{2}R\right)-\kappa^2\xi\left(\nabla^\mu\nabla_\nu-\delta^\mu_\nu\nabla^2\right)\phi^2-\kappa^2\nabla^\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi \\ +\delta^\mu_\nu\kappa^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla\phi\right)^2+V(\phi)\right)=\kappa^2\Theta^\mu_\nu\,, \tag{2}$$

where Θ^μ_ν denotes the energy-momentum tensor.

Taking the flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) background

$$ds^2=-dt^2+a^2(t)d\vec{x}^2\,, \tag{3}$$

with the scale factor $a(t)$, the Friedmann equations from the field equations are

$$H^2=\frac{\kappa^2}{3}\left(\rho_M+\rho_\phi\right)\,, \tag{4}$$

$$\dot{H}=-\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\left(\rho_M+\rho_\phi+P_M+P_\phi\right)\,, \tag{5}$$

where ρ_M and P_M are the energy density and pressure of matter, while ρ_ϕ and P_ϕ are the effective energy density and pressure of the scalar field, given by

$$\rho_\phi=\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2}+V-3\xi H^2\phi^2-6\xi H\phi\dot{\phi}\,, \tag{6}$$

$$P_\phi=\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2}-V+\xi\left(2\dot{H}+3H^2\right)\phi^2+2\xi\left(\ddot{\phi}\phi+\dot{\phi}^2+2H\phi\dot{\phi}\right)\,, \tag{7}$$

respectively.

The evolution of the scalar field is govern by the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\Box\phi+\xi R\phi-V_\phi=0, \tag{8}$$

where $\Box\equiv\nabla^\mu\nabla_\mu$ and $V_\phi\equiv dV/d\phi$. In the homogeneous FRW background, the Klein-Gordon equation takes the form of

$$\ddot{\phi}+3H\dot{\phi}+V_\phi-6\xi\left(\dot{H}+2H^2\right)\phi=0\,, \tag{9}$$

where we have used $R=6\dot{H}+12H^2$. The background equation (9) can also be derived from the continuity equation of ρ_ϕ and P_ϕ:

$$\dot{\rho}_\phi+3H\left(\rho_\phi+P_\phi\right)=0\,. \tag{10}$$

Similar to the (non)relativistic matter, the nonminimally coupled scalar field can be treated as a perfect fluid with equation of state $w_\phi=P_\phi/\rho_\phi$.

In terms of the e-folding $N = \ln a$, the Klein-Gordon equation (9) becomes

$$\phi'' + \left(3 + \frac{H'}{H}\right)\phi' - 6\xi\left(2 + \frac{H'}{H}\right)\phi + \frac{\kappa V_\phi}{H^2} = 0, \tag{11}$$

where the primes are e-folding derivatives, and we have rescaled ϕ by ϕ/κ. Since $H' = -3/2(1+w)H$, Eq. (11) can be rewritten as

$$\phi'' + \frac{3}{2}(1-w)\phi' - 3\xi\,(1-3w)\,\phi + \frac{\kappa V_\phi}{H^2} = 0. \tag{12}$$

The background equation of state is approximately a constant with $w = 1/3$ during the epoch of the radiation domination (RD) and $w = 0$ during the matter domination (MD).

III. COSMOLOGICAL EVOLUTION

A. Constant potential $V(\phi) = V_0$

Let us first study the evolution with an absolutely flat potential $V(\phi) = V_0$ with $V_\phi = 0$ for simplicity. In this scenario, the Klein-Gordon equation (12) is reduced to

$$\phi'' + \frac{3}{2}(1-w)\phi' - 3\xi\,(1-3w)\,\phi = 0. \tag{13}$$

Assuming that w is a constant, this equation can be exactly solved as

$$\phi(N) = C_+ e^{L_+ N} + C_- e^{L_- N}, \tag{14}$$

where $C_\pm$ are constants to be determinated by initial conditions and

$$L_\pm(w,\xi) = -\frac{3}{4}(1-w) \pm \sqrt{\frac{9}{16}(1-w)^2 + 3\xi(1-3w)}. \tag{15}$$

For $\xi > 0$, one finds that $L_+ > 0$, and thus $e^{L_+ N} = a^{L_+}$ corresponds to an increasing mode, while $e^{L_- N} = a^{L_-}$ is a decreasing mode as $L_- < 0$. For $\xi = 0$, $L_+ = 0$ holds, indicating the constant mode of a massless scalar field. For $\xi < 0$, no increasing mode exists since $L_\pm \leq 0$. In what follows we shall study the evolutions of ϕ with (a) $\xi \leq 0$, (b) $0 < \xi \leq 3/2$ and (c) $\xi > 3/2$, respectively.

(a) $\xi \leq 0$

In the limit of $\xi = 0$, ϕ is governed by a constant mode and the kinetic energy decays rapidly. Eventually, we find $\rho_\phi = V_0$ and $w_\phi = -1$ for arbitrary initial conditions. As expected, the dynamics of quintessence reproduces that of a cosmological constant.

For $\xi < 0$, we have $(L_+, L_-) = (0, -1)$ in RD, and $(L_+, L_-) < (0, 0)$ in MD. If ϕ is released from rest, the decreasing mode ($\propto e^{L_- N}$) becomes negligible with the expansion of the universe and the solution (14) can be approximated to be [1]

$$\phi = C_+ e^{L_+ N}. \tag{16}$$

Taking this solution into Eq. (6), the energy density reads

$$\rho_\phi = V_0 + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{L_+^2}{2} - 3\xi - 6\xi L_+\right) H^2\phi^2. \tag{17}$$

Assuming that the initial condition satisfies $\rho_\phi \gg V_0$, the energy density is then reduced to

$$\rho_\phi \approx \rho_\phi^{nc} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{L_+^2}{2} - 3\xi - 6\xi L_+\right) H^2\phi^2. \tag{18}$$

Here, $\rho_\phi \propto H^2\phi^2 \propto a^{2L_+ - 3(1+w)}$ indicates that the equation of state takes the form

$$w_\phi(w, \xi) = w - \frac{2}{3} L_+(w, \xi), \tag{19}$$

resulting in $w_\phi(1/3, \xi) = 1/3$ and $w_\phi(0, \xi) = 1/2 - \sqrt{1/4 + (4\xi)/3}$.

Given that $w_\phi > 0$ in MD when $\xi < 0$, ρ_ϕ decays faster than the matter density as ϕ approaches zero. Eventually, the value of ϕ is frozen around zero and one reproduces the behavior of a cosmological constant with $w_\phi \to -1$ as $\rho_\phi \to V_0$.

(b) $0 < \xi \leq 3/2$

In this case, the initial density of ϕ may be negative. Given that $L_+ > 0$ holds during both RD and MD, $e^{L_+ N}$ is always increasing with time. Assuming that ϕ is released from rest, we can adopt the solution (16), and the evolution essentially has three stages:

(i) During RD or MD where ρ_ϕ is subdominant, the energy density is increasing with time. To see this, we may denote the solution at this stage as

$$\phi_1 = C_1 e^{L_1 N}, \tag{20}$$

where the decreasing mode has been neglected and $C_1 = C_+$ and $L_1 = L_+$.

The energy density with $\phi = \phi_1$ takes the form

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{L_1^2}{2} - 3\xi - 6\xi L_1\right) H^2\phi_1^2, \tag{21}$$

[1] Initial conditions with $C_+ = 0$, $C_- \neq 0$ implies $\phi = -\phi'$ at the initial time. Since $L_- = -1$ during RD, one finds that $\phi \propto e^{L_- N} \propto 1/a$ and $\rho_\phi \propto H^2\phi^2 \propto a^{-6}$, similar to the case in which the initial energy density is dominated by the kinetic energy ($\rho_\phi \approx \dot{\phi}^2/2$).

where it can be checked that $\rho_\phi < 0$ in both RD and MD. One may deduce $w_\phi = w_1$ from Eq. (21) as $w_1(w,\xi) = w - \frac{2}{3}L_1(w,\xi)$, which gives

$$w_1 = \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{(RD)} \quad \text{and} \quad w_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{4}{3}\xi} \quad \text{(MD)}, \tag{22}$$

where $-1 \leq w_1 < 0$ in MD for $0 < \xi \leq 3/2$.

(ii) Once the density of V_0 is dominant, the universe will undergo a de Sitter expansion. Consequently, we can assume that $w = -1$ with $H' = 0$ so that $H = H_{\rm ds}$ is a constant. Accordingly, Eq. (11) is simplified as

$$\phi'' + 3\phi' - 12\xi\phi = 0. \tag{23}$$

The corresponding solution (keeping only the increasing mode) reads

$$\phi_2 = C_2 e^{L_2 N}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$L_2 = -\frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + 12\xi}, \tag{25}$$

and the energy density (6) is of the form

$$\rho_\phi = V_0 + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{L_2^2}{2} - 3\xi - 6\xi L_2\right) H_{\rm ds}^2 \phi_2^2. \tag{26}$$

Note that $L_2 > 0$ since $\xi > 0$ and therefore, ϕ remains increasing with time during the V_0 domination epoch.

(iii) Since $\phi_2^2 \propto a^{2L_2}$ is increasing with time, the subdominant energy density of ρ_ϕ (the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (26)) eventually becomes comparable with V_0, where the de Sitter expansion is interrupted. To calculate the cosmological evolution after the V_0-domination, we study the asymptotic universe, which has a constant equation of state $w = w_3$, satisfying

$$\phi'' + \frac{3}{2}(1 - w_3)\phi' - 3\xi\,(1 - 3w_3)\,\phi = 0. \tag{27}$$

Again, by neglecting the decreasing mode, the solution is given by

$$\phi_3 = C_3 e^{L_3 N}, \tag{28}$$

where

$$L_3 = -\frac{3}{4}(1 - w_3) + \sqrt{\frac{9}{16}(1 - w_3)^2 + 3\xi(1 - 3w_3)}. \tag{29}$$

Provided that the scalar field is the dominant species of the universe, the Friedmann equation yields

$$3H^2 = \kappa^2 \rho_\phi, \tag{30}$$

where the energy density

$$\rho_\phi = V_0 + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{L_3^2}{2} - 3\xi - 6\xi L_3\right) H^2 \phi_3^2. \tag{31}$$

For a sufficiently large N such that $\phi_3 \gg 6/(L_3^2 - 6\xi - 12\xi L_3)$, the left-hand side of Eq. (30) is negligible and the lowest order of the Friedmann equation gives $\rho_\phi = V_0 + \rho_\phi^{nc} = 0$. The asymptotic solution shows a fine cancellation between V_0 and ρ_ϕ^{nc} (the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (31)). This cancellation implies $\phi_3^2 \sim H^{-2} \propto a^{3(1+w_3)}$, which leads to

$$w_3 = \frac{-3 + 2\xi}{3(1 + 2\xi)}, \quad \text{and} \quad L_3 = \frac{4\xi}{1 + 2\xi}, \quad (0 < \xi \leq 3/2). \tag{32}$$

Given that $-1 < w_3 \leq 0$ with $0 < \xi \leq 3/2$, the scalar field will keep overtaking the matter density after the epoch of the de Sitter expansion (the phase of the V_0-domination), as seen from Fig. 1.



FIG. 1. Evolutions of the ratio of the energy density to the dust-like matter density today (left panel) and the equation of state (right panel) in the constant potential model. In both panels, the initial condition $C_- = 0$ is given with $\xi = 0.3$ (black solid line), -0.3 (black dashed line) and 2 (black dotted line). In the left panel, the gray dot-dashed (gray dashed) line is the energy density of radiation (dust-like matter), while the gray solid line shows the constant value $V_0/\rho_m^{(0)}$.

(c) $\xi > 3/2$

If the initial value of ρ_ϕ is too small such that it remains negative during MD, Eq. (22) demonstrates that $w_1 < -1$ for $\xi > 3/2$ and therefore, the energy density ρ_ϕ will always be dominated by the nonminimal coupling term (ρ_ϕ^{nc}) as given by Eq. (21). In this case, ρ_ϕ is always negative, and the constant potential V_0 is never important so that there exhibits no epoch of the cosmic acceleration.

On the other hand, if ρ_ϕ can be positive before MD, V_0 will dominate the universe and drive a de Sitter expansion. Similarly, this de Sitter expansion shall be interrupted with the growths of ϕ and $|\rho_\phi^{nc}|$, where the resulting solution has $w = w_\phi = (-3 + 2\xi)/[3(1 + 2\xi)]$. However, since $w_\phi > 0$ for $\xi > 3/2$, the matter density ρ_M may eventually catch up with ρ_ϕ and become one of the dominant density component.

Let us assume that the asymptotic solution for $\xi > 3/2$ has a constant equation of state w_3 with ϕ_3 taken the form of Eq. (28). For arbitrary initial conditions, the final attractor is a fine cancellation between ρ_M and ρ_ϕ^{nc}, leading to the lowest order Friedmann equation $\rho_M + \rho_\phi^{nc} = 0$. Since $\rho_\phi^{nc} \propto H^2\phi_3^2$, the Friedmann equation indicates $\phi_3^2 \sim H^{-2}a^{-3} \propto a^{3w_3}$, which results in

$$w_3 = \frac{4\xi}{3(1+4\xi)}, \quad \text{and} \quad L_3 = \frac{2\xi}{1+4\xi}, \quad (\xi > 3/2). \tag{33}$$

B. Exponential potential $V(\phi) = V_0\, e^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}$

We now revisit the model with $V(\phi) = V_0\, e^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}$, where λ is a non-negative constant. Rescaling ϕ by $\kappa\phi$, we may rewrite Eq. (12) with the exponential potential as

$$\phi'' + \frac{3}{2}(1-w)\phi' - 3\xi\,(1-3w)\,\phi - \lambda\frac{\kappa^2 V_0}{H^2}e^{-\lambda\phi} = 0. \tag{34}$$

This equation exhibits analytical solutions in the minimal coupling limit ($\xi = 0$) [14], where attractor solutions of the ϕ-domination are known to exist for $\lambda^2 < 6$. For $3(1+w) < \lambda^2 < 6$, where w is the background equation of state, the asymptotic attractor is a scaling solution with $\Omega_\phi = 3(1 + w)/\lambda^2$ and $w_\phi = w$. For $0 < \lambda^2 < 3(1 + w)$, the ϕ-domination solution is stable, and the final state gives $\Omega_\phi = 1$ with $w_\phi = -1 + \lambda^2/3$. One can see that the cosmic acceleration can be realized in the regime $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{2}$, where the asymptotic attractor solution conducts $-1 < w_\phi < -1/3$.

Since V_0 corresponds to the energy scale of the dark energy domination, one finds that

the potential term is negligibly small in the early time ($N \ll 0$), where the equation of motion (34) coincides with that of the constant potential, as given by Eq. (13). For simplicity, we assume that ϕ is released from rest so that the solution (16) can be applied with the evolutions discussed as follows.

(a) $\xi < 0$

For $\xi < 0$, the nonminimal coupling term in the Klein-Gordon equation tends to pull back the scalar field from rolling down the potential, and the solution of ϕ is a constant mode in RD and a decreasing mode in MD (see Sec. III A). Even if ϕ is initially at rest, ρ_ϕ can be much greater than the potential energy, and it takes the form of Eq. (18) with a nearly constant equation of state as given by Eq. (19). Since ρ_ϕ is decaying with time for $N \ll 0$, it eventually approaches $V(\phi)$ as $\phi \to 0$.

Once $\rho_\phi = V(\phi)$ is reached, the ϕ-field is temporarily frozen by the friction of the Hubble expansion with $w_\phi \simeq -1$. When ρ_ϕ starts to dominate the universe around $N = 0$, ϕ will begin to roll down the potential as shown in Fig. 2.

The asymptotic attractor solution is the stable point balanced between $V(\phi)$ and the nonminimal coupling term. Taking ϕ to be asymptotically stabilized at some value ϕ_f with $H = H_f$ a constant, we may obtain $3H_f^2 = \kappa^2 V_0 e^{-\lambda\phi_f} - 3\xi H_f^2 \phi_f^2$ from the Friedmann equation and $-12\xi H_f^2 \phi_f = \lambda\kappa^2 V_0 e^{-\lambda\phi_f}$ from the Klein-Gordon equation. It is straightforward to find that

$$\phi_f = -\frac{2}{\lambda} + \sqrt{\frac{4}{\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{\xi}}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_f^2 = \frac{\kappa^2 V_0}{3(1+\xi\phi_f^2)} e^{-\lambda\phi_f}, \tag{35}$$

where the final energy density reads (see Fig. 2)

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{V_0}{1+\xi\phi_f^2} e^{-\lambda\phi_f}. \tag{36}$$

It can be checked that $\phi_f < 1/\sqrt{-\xi}$ is satisfied for an arbitrary value of λ, and thus ϕ will not reach the singularity where $1 + \xi\phi^2 = 0$.

(b) $\xi > 0$

The nonminimal coupling term with $\xi > 0$ acts as an additional force in the Klein-Gordon equation that pushes ϕ to run downhill, and thus ϕ is govern by an increasing mode during



FIG. 2. Evolutions of the ratio of the energy density to the dust-like matter density today (left panel) and the ratio H'/H (right panel) in the exponential potential model. In the left panel, the black solid line is ρ_ϕ with $(\xi\ ,\ \lambda) = (-0.15,\ 2)$, the black dashed line is the total energy density, and the gray dot-dashed (gray dashed) line is the energy density of radiation (dust-like matter), respectively, while the gray solid line in the left panel corresponds to the constant value $V_0/\rho_m^{(0)}$.



FIG. 3. Legend is the same as Fig. 2 but $(\xi, \lambda) = (0.15, 0.02)$.

RD and MD (see Eq. (20)). The evolutions of $|\rho/\rho_m^{(0)}|$ and H'/H with $(\xi, \lambda) = (0.15, 0.02)$ are shown in Fig. 3. Let us schematically divide the evolutions into five stages:

(i) For $\xi > 0$, a wide range of initial conditions can lead to a negative energy density during RD and MD with $|\rho_\phi| > V(\phi)$, where ρ_ϕ is given by Eq. (21) and increasing toward $V(\phi)$. Once $\rho_\phi = V(\phi)$ is reached, the energy density becomes positive and the value of ϕ remains increasing due to the effect of the nonminimal coupling.

(ii) Once the ϕ-field dominates the total energy density, the universe experiences a (quasi) de Sitter expansion for a sufficiently small λ. The solution and the energy density of ϕ at this stage are given by Eqs. (24) and (26), respectively.

(iii) Similar to the case of a constant potential, this de Sitter expansion is going to be interrupted with the increase of ϕ, once the contribution of the nonminimal coupling term in ρ_ϕ is comparable with $V(\phi)$. For $0 < \xi < 3/2$, the solution that follows the de Sitter expansion is a power-law expansion with $w = w_\phi = (-3+2\xi)/[3(1+2\xi)]$ from a temporary fine cancellation of the energy density (that is $\rho_\phi = 0$, see Eq. (32)).

(iv) So far the evolution in an exponential potential with $\lambda > 0$ is indistinguishable from that of the constant potential ($\lambda = 0$). This is not true as ϕ keeps growing, where $V(\phi)$ is exponentially decaying with time. At some epoch, the potential energy suddenly transits to the kinetic energy, leading to a phase of the super kinetic-energy domination with $w_\phi > 1$. Given that $V(\phi)$ becomes negligible, the lowest order of the Friedmann equation gives

$$0 = \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{L_4^2}{2} - 3\xi - 6\xi L_4\right) H^2\phi_4^2, \tag{37}$$

where $\phi_4 = C_4 e^{L_4 N}$ is the solution of the Klein-Gordon equation with the potential term neglected, and $L_4 = -3/4(1-w_4) + \sqrt{9/16(1-w_4)^2 + 3\xi(1-3w_4)}$. Since Eq. (37) implies $L_4 = 6\xi + \sqrt{6\xi(1+6\xi)}$, one can find that

$$w_4 = 1 + 8\xi + 4\sqrt{\frac{2\xi}{3}(1+6\xi)}. \tag{38}$$

(v) The density ρ_ϕ once again turns into negative when $V(\phi)$ is subsidiary with the increase of ϕ. We may denote the solution at the final stage as $\phi_5 = C_5 e^{L_5 N}$, where $L_5 = -3/4(1-w_5) + \sqrt{9/16(1-w_5)^2 + 3\xi(1-3w_5)}$. The asymptotic attractor is a scaling solution in which density of the ϕ-field closely tracks that of the dust-like matter, that is $\rho_\phi = -\rho_M \propto a^{-3}$, while the total energy density of the universe $\rho_{\rm tot} \ll \rho_M$ is always positive. Since $\rho_\phi \propto H^2\phi_5^2 \propto a^{-3}$, we can obtain

$$w_5 = \frac{4\xi}{3(1+4\xi)}, \quad \text{and} \quad L_5 = \frac{2\xi}{1+4\xi}, \quad (\xi > 0). \tag{39}$$

IV. OBSERVATIONAL CONSTRAINTS

As the density induced by the nonminimal coupling plays a central role in the future cosmological evolution, it is useful to define $\epsilon \equiv 1 - V(\phi)/\rho_\phi$ to parametrize the fraction

of the energy density additional to the potential. The density fraction induced by the nonminimal coupling is then given by $\Omega_\phi^{nc} \equiv \epsilon\,\Omega_\phi$, where $\Omega_{\phi 0} \approx 0.69$ [27]. For $\xi > 0$, ϵ is negative at present even if the initial condition is $\epsilon = 0$. Since the present Hubble parameter H_0 is nearly a constant, $|\epsilon|$ is now increasing with the growth of ϕ. The subsequent solution, once $|\epsilon| \gg 1$ is reached, is a power-law expansion with $w = (-3+2\xi)/[3(1+2\xi)]$.

Without loss of generality, we can estimate the time at the onset of the ξ-induced power-law expansion via solutions in the constant potential model. Given that the background curvature is decreasing with the increase of $|\epsilon|$, we define $N = N_*$ as the time such that

$$3H^2 = \left| \frac{L_2^2}{2} - 3\xi - 6\xi L_2 \right| H^2 \phi_2^2(N_*)\,, \tag{40}$$

where ϕ_2 and L_2 are given by Eqs. (24) and (25), respectively, and $C_2 = \phi_2(N=0)$ can be determined by the today's value of $\phi = \phi_0$. The onset of the power-law expansion is thus

$$N_* = \frac{1}{2L_2}\left[\ln \frac{6}{|L_2^2 - 6\xi - 12\xi L_2|} - 2\ln C_2 \right]. \tag{41}$$

As a result, the largest possible ϕ_0 from cosmological observations (see Table I) reads $N_* \approx 4.8$ (1.1) for $\xi = 0.1$ (0.3), where we have used $\phi_0^2 = C_2^2 = 6\Omega_{\phi 0}\epsilon_0/(L_2^2 - 6\xi - 12\xi L_2)$ with $\epsilon_0 \equiv 1 - V(\phi)/\rho_\phi^{(0)} = -0.046$ (-0.178) for $\xi = 0.1$ (0.3).

The Solar System tests [25, 26] provide an independent constraint as $\omega_{\rm BD} \geq 40000$, where $\omega_{\rm BD}$ is the prototype Brans-Dicke parameter following the definition in [25]. Here, the action (1) corresponds to $\omega_{\rm BD} = F/(2F_\phi^2)$, where in our scenario $F(\phi) = (1+\xi\kappa^2\phi^2)/(2\kappa^2)$ and $F_\phi \equiv dF/d\phi$. From this constraint we find that $F(\phi_0)/F_\phi^2(\phi_0) \geq 8\times 10^4$ (95% C.L.) and that today's field value $\kappa^2\phi_0^2 \leq 1/(160000\xi^2 - \xi)$. Therefore the lower bounds for ϵ are $\epsilon_0 = (-1.4\times 10^{-4}, -7.1\times 10^{-5}, -4.2\times 10^{-5})$ for $\xi = (0.1, 0.3, 1)$, respectively. As a consequence, we find a minimum $N_* \approx 13.0$ ($N_* \approx 2.3$) and a maximum $|\Omega_{\phi 0}^{nc}| \approx 9.4\times 10^{-5}$ (2.9×10^{-5}) for $\xi = 0.1$ $(\xi = 1)$, implying that the onset of the ξ-induced power-law expansion can occur at some 179.0 (31.7) billion years later. For the time unit, we take $H_0 dt = d\ln a = dN$ with $H_0^{-1} \approx 13.8\times 10^9$ years.

To obtain the observational constraints in Fig. 4 and Table I, we use the CMB data from joint low-l ($l < 50$) and high-l ($l \geq 50$) polarizations of Planck [27] and the low-l range of WMAP [28], the BAO data from BOSS DR11 [29], and the SNIa data from SNLS [30]. For the data fitting, we modify the background evolution and scalar perturbation [22, 23] with respect to the extended quintessence scenario. In particular, the parametrized

effective Newtonian constant $G_{\rm eff} = G\mu$ is given by $\mu = (1+4Q)/[(1+3Q)(1+\xi\kappa^2\phi^2)]$ with $Q = 2\xi^2\kappa^2\phi^2/(1+\xi\kappa^2\phi^2)$ [23] (see also Refs. [31–34]). Our results include the tensor mode perturbation as considered in Refs. [35, 36]. Priors for the various cosmological parameters are listed in Table II.

TABLE I. Parameters for the marginalized confidence regions in Fig. 4 (95% C.L.)

Parameter	$\xi = 0.1$	$\xi = 0.3$	ΛCDM
Baryon density	$100\Omega_b h^2 = 2.21 \pm 0.05$	$100\Omega_b h^2 = 2.21^{+0.05}_{-0.01}$	$100\Omega_b h^2 = 2.22^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$
CDM density	$\Omega_c h^2 = 0.119^{+0.003}_{-0.004}$	$\Omega_c h^2 = 0.118^{+0.004}_{-0.003}$	$\Omega_c h^2 = 0.118 \pm 0.003$
Neutrino mass	$\Sigma m_\nu < 0.245$ eV	$\Sigma m_\nu < 0.245$ eV	$\Sigma m_\nu < 0.211$ eV
Spectral index	$n_s = 0.964 \pm 0.011$	$n_s = 0.964^{+0.011}_{-0.012}$	$n_s = 0.963^{+0.012}_{-0.009}$
Tensor-to-Scalar ratio	$r < 0.116$	$r < 0.118$	$r < 0.125$
Potential	$V_0/\rho_\phi < 1.046$	$V_0/\rho_\phi < 1.178$	–



FIG. 4. The 2σ confidence constraints of the marginalized (black solid contours) and the likelihood (color regions) results for the today's ratio of V_0/ρ_ϕ with respect to the energy density of cold dark matter $\Omega_c h^2$ in the extended quintessence scenario with a constant potential $V(\phi) = V_0$, where the coupling constant $\xi = 0.1$ (0.3) is fixed in the left (right) panel.

TABLE II. Priors for cosmological parameters.

Parameter	Prior
Baryon density	$0.5 < 100\Omega_b h^2 < 10$
CDM density	$10^{-3} < \Omega_c h^2 < 0.99$
Neutrino mass	$0.01 < \Sigma m_\nu < 2$ eV
Spectral index	$0.9 < n_s < 1.1$
Tensor-to-Scalar ratio	$0 < r < 1$

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the future evolution of a quintessence field with a nonminimal coupling to gravity, assuming that the late-time cosmic acceleration is driven by the energy density of the quintessence potential. We have taken into account initial conditions that can realize the gravitational tracking evolutions with an appreciable ratio of the quintessence density to the background fluid density during radiation and matter dominations. We obtained exact solutions, Eqs. (14) and (15), from the constant potential scenario, which have been shown to be good approximations in models with nearly constant potentials that can give rise to a proper cosmology.

For $\xi < 0$, we found that the asymptotic attractor in a sufficiently flat potential is always the de Sitter like solution with the constant Hubble parameter H_f, the coupling constant ξ, and the final field value ϕ_f. As a typical example, we derived this attractor in the model with an exponential potential $V(\phi) = V_0 e^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}$, as given by Eq. (35). We remark that this result includes $V(\phi) = V_0$ as a special case with $\lambda = 0$, which leads to $\phi_f = 0$ and $H_f = \kappa\sqrt{V_0/3}$. For $\xi > 0$, we found that the current potential-driven expansion will be changed into a ξ-induced expansion with $w = (-3 + 2\xi)/[3(1 + 2\xi)]$. This transition is due to the major cancellation in ρ_ϕ between the potential energy and the negative density component corresponding to the nonminimal coupling (namely ρ_ϕ^{nc}). For a constant potential, the ξ-induced power-law expansion can occur even if $\xi > 3/2$, provided that ρ_ϕ becomes positive before the matter domination. However, in this case the solution $w = (-3+2\xi)/[3(1+2\xi)]$ is a saddle point and the final attractor reads $w = 4\xi/[3(1+4\xi)]$. For an exponential potential, the final attractor can be a scaling solution in which $|\rho_\phi|$

tracks closely with ρ_M. In addition, we have numerically checked that the total density of the universe is always positive even if $|\xi|$ is very large.

Given that the onset of the ξ-induced expansion depends on the composition of the quintenssence density today, we therefore confront the scenario with combined CMB, BAO, Type-Ia supernovae and Solar System measurements and find that current observational constraints only accept at most 0.01% (0.004%) of the dark energy density to be contributed by the nonminimal coupling, when $\xi \sim 0.1$ ($\xi \sim 1$). Note that the experimental bound on the time variation of the gravitational coupling constant $|\dot{G}_{\rm eff}/G_{\rm eff}| < 1.3 \times 10^{-12}\,{\rm yr}^{-1}$ [37] does not impose any further constraint on our results. We also remark that, for those nearly flat potentials considered, the present field value ϕ_0 only slightly changes from the initial value (which is chosen to be zero) so that even a coupling constant $\xi \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ can pass the local tests, provided that $|\xi\phi_0| < 10^{-2}$.

It is amazing that the subsidiary component Ω_ϕ^{nc}, if it does exist, may drive the current equation of state (≈ -1) to evolve toward $w \simeq -0.78$ for a coupling $\xi = 0.1$ (and $w \simeq -0.11$ for $\xi = 1$) in the next 10^{10-11} years. This deviation from the standard prediction given by a cosmological constant shows one of the interesting consequences due to a direct interaction between dark energy and gravity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Shinji Tsujikawa for helpful comments. The work was supported in part by National Center for Theoretical Sciences, MoST (MoST-104-2112-M-007-003-MY3), National Science Council (NSC-101-2112-M-007-006-MY3) and National Tsing Hua University (104N2724E1).

[1] A. G. Riess *et al.*, Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998); S. Perlmutter *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999).

[2] R. R. Caldwell, R. Dave, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1582 (1998).

[3] P. G. Ferreira and M. Joyce, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4740 (1997); Phys. Rev. D **58**, 023503 (1998).

[4] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4686 (1998).

[5] A. P. Billyard, A. A. Coley, and R. J. Van den Hoogen, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 123501 (1998).

[6] I. Zlatev, L. Wang, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 896 (1999); P. J. Steinhardt, L. Wang, and I. Zlatev, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 123504 (1999).

[7] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, Astrophys. J. Lett. **325**, L17 (1988); B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3406 (1988).

[8] T. Chiba, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 023515 (2010); T. Chiba, A. D. Felice, and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 083505 (2013); S. Tsujikawa, Class. Quantum Grav. **30**, 214003 (2013).

[9] P. Y. Wang, C. W. Chen and P. Chen, JCAP **1202**, 016 (2012).

[10] S. Bludman, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 122002 (2004).

[11] F. Perrotta, C. Baccigalupi, and S. Matarrese, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 023507 (2000); C. Baccigalupi, S. Matarrese, and F. Perrotta, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 123510 (2000).

[12] R. de Ritis, A. A. Marino, C. Rubano, and P. Scudellaro, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043506 (2000).

[13] L. Amendola, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 043501 (1999).

[14] J. P. Uzan, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 123510 (1999).

[15] T. Chiba, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 083508 (1999).

[16] N. Bartolo and M. Pietroni, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 023518 (2000).

[17] V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 023504 (2000).

[18] F. C. Carvalho and A. Saa, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 087302 (2004).

[19] A. A. Sen, G. Gupta and S. Das, JCAP **0909**, 027 (2009); G. Gupta, E. N. Saridakis and A. A. Sen, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 123013 (2009).

[20] S. Matarrese, C. Baccigalupi, and F. Perrotta, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 061301 (2004).

[21] T. Chiba, M. Siino, and M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 083530 (2010).

[22] A. Lewis, A. Challinor and A. Lasenby, Astrophys. J. **538**, 473 (2000).

[23] A. Hojjati, L. Pogosian and G. B. Zhao, JCAP **1108**, 005 (2011).

[24] A. Lewis and S. Bridle, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 103511 (2002).

[25] L. Perivolaropoulos, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 047501 (2010).

[26] B. Bertotti, L. Iess, and P. Tortora, Nature (London), **425**, 374 (2003).

[27] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A16 (2014); P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO].

[28] G. Hinshaw *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **208**, 19 (2013).

[29] L. Anderson *et al.* [BOSS Collaboration], Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **441**, 24 (2014).

[30] P. Astier *et al.* [SNLS Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. **447**, 31 (2006).

[31] S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 023514 (2007).

[32] V. Pettorino and C. Baccigalupi, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 103003 (2008).

[33] F. Pace, L. Moscardini, R. Crittenden, M. Bartelmann and V. Pettorino, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **437**, 547-561 (2014).

[34] Y. Fan, P. Wu and H. Yu, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 8, 083529 (2015).

[35] J. c. Hwang and H. Noh, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 1460 (1996).

[36] X. Y. Zhou and J. H. He, Commun. Theor. Phys. **62**, 102 (2014).

[37] J. G. Williams, S. G. Turyshev, D. H. Boggs and J. T. Ratcliff, Adv. Space Res. **37**, 67 (2006).